UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,655	12/20/2005	Graham R. Purkins	58852US004	7781
32692 7590 06/22/2009 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			EXAMINER	
			JACYNA, J CASIMER	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,655	PURKINS, GRAHAM R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	pril 2009				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2008</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>040506</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/561,655

Art Unit: 3754

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 4/7/2009 is acknowledged.

Page 2

- Claims 9-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/7/2009.
- 3. Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. As stated on page 5, lines 1-2 of the specification, figures 1a and 1b are from patent 5,772,085.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al. 5,772,085 in view of Neff 4,522,374. Bryant discloses the prior art metering valve with seals 16, 18 substantially as claimed but does not disclose a molded sleeve. However, Neff teaches another valve stem or spool having a

Application/Control Number: 10/561,655

Art Unit: 3754

rubber elastomeric sleeve as shown in figures 1 and 2 and disclosed in column 4, lines 17-21, with an overlying o-ring sealing element 68 for the purpose of improving the sealing properties of the valve. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Bryant with a rubber elastomeric sleeve and overlying sealing element as, for example, taught by Neff in order to improve the sealing properties of the valve.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al. 5,772,085 in view of Neff 4,522,374 as applied to claim 19 above and further in view of Hoelz et al. 6,739,333. Bryant discloses a medicinal aerosol inhaler substantially as claimed but does not disclose any specific propellant. However, Hoelz teaches another aerosol inhaler having the claimed propellant mixture as disclosed on column 5, lines 1-11, for the purpose of providing a specific propellant formulation that is suitable for use in inhalers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the inhaler of Bryant with a propellant as disclosed on column 5, lines 1-11, of Hoelz in order to provide a specific propellant formulation that is suitable for use in inhalers.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips 4,601,310 in view of Neff 4,522,374. As stated in the title, Phillips discloses a metering valve including a valve stem 150, Bryant discloses the prior art metering valve with seals 16, 18, a stem seal 120 and an overlying sealing element 130 substantially as claimed but does not disclose the stem seal to be a molded sleeve. However, Neff teaches another valve stem or spool having a rubber

Art Unit: 3754

elastomeric sleeve as shown in figures 1 and 2 and disclosed in column 4, lines 17-21, with an overlying o-ring sealing element 68 for the purpose of improving the sealing properties of the valve. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Phillips with molded sleeve in lieu of o-ring 120 as, for example, taught by Neff in order to improve the sealing properties of the valve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 571-272-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. Casimer Jacyna/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754